

To: Shalev, Omer[Shalev.Omer@epa.gov]
Cc: Fujino, Stephen T CIV NAVFAC PAC[(b) (6)]; Shimabuku, Ross Y CIV NAVFAC PAC[(b) (6)]; Vogel, Burr M CDR NAVFAC HI, OP[(b) (6)]; Miyamoto, James A CIV NAVFAC HI, DOP[(b) (6)]; Huettelman, Tom[Huettelman.Tom@epa.gov]; Linder, Steven[Linder.Steven@epa.gov]; Pallarino, Bob[Pallarino.Bob@epa.gov]; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov[roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov]; steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov[steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov]; 'Perry, Thu'[Thu.Perry@doh.hawaii.gov]; Miyamoto, James A CIV NAVFAC HI, DOP[(b) (6)]
From: Nakaoka, Perry M CIV NAVFAC PAC, CI
Sent: Mon 11/23/2015 7:51:04 PM
Subject: RE: Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility AOC, SOW Section 3.1 Draft Agenda
[Section 3.1 Draft Agenda 20NOV15.docx](#)
[smime.p7s](#)

Omer,

Thank you for your input.

Requesting clarification on Item 8. Are you referring to the QC/QA requirements during construction?

Thank you

Perry Nakaoka, PE

O: (b) (6)

mailto: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Shalev, Omer [mailto:Shalev.Omer@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 20, 2015 1:22 PM
To: Nakaoka, Perry M CIV NAVFAC PAC, CI; Miyamoto, James A CIV NAVFAC HI, DOP
Cc: Fujino, Stephen T CIV NAVFAC PAC; Shimabuku, Ross Y CIV NAVFAC PAC; Vogel, Burr M CDR NAVFAC HI, OP; Miyamoto, James A CIV NAVFAC HI, DOP; Huettelman, Tom; Linder, Steven; Pallarino, Bob; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov; steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov; 'Perry, Thu'
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility AOC, SOW Section 3.1 Draft Agenda

Jimmy, Perry and Team,

Tom and I put together this draft agenda for Section 3.1 of the SOW. We think it is crucial for the meeting agendas to contain a degree of specificity that directs the conversations towards the next deliverable. To that end, we have refined the initial agenda provided by Navy/DLA on this SOW Section to reflect our expectations for the dialogue that will take place the week of November 30. As you can see, we have designated times and leads for portions of the agenda. Navy/DLA can choose if and where to delegate portions of the agenda to their contractors or consultants, but it is vital that meetings address the AOC specifically.

We strongly encourage that meetings for others Sections of the SOW be refined, as needed, to

contain a similar level of specificity. We will discuss the attached Agenda on Monday's call.

Have a good weekend. Thanks,

Omer Shalev
Environmental Engineer
Land Division (LND 4-2)
Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
75 Hawthorne St.
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3538

From: Shalev, Omer
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2015 5:23 PM
To: 'Nakaoka, Perry M CIV NAVFAC PAC, CI' <(b) (6)>; 'Perry, Thu' <Thu.Perry@doh.hawaii.gov>
Cc: Fujino, Stephen T CIV NAVFAC PAC <(b) (6)>; Shimabuku, Ross Y CIV NAVFAC PAC <(b) (6)>; Vogel, Burr M CDR NAVFAC HI, OP <(b) (6)>; Miyamoto, James A CIV NAVFAC HI, DOP <(b) (6)>; Huetteman, Tom <Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov>; Linder, Steven <Linder.Steven@epa.gov>; Pallarino, Bob <Pallarino.Bob@epa.gov>; roxanne.kwan@doh.hawaii.gov; steven.chang@doh.hawaii.gov
Subject: RE: Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility AOC, SOW Section 3, Tank Upgrade Alternatives, 30 Nov - 4 Dec Scoping Meeting Agenda

Mr. Nakaoka, thank you providing this agenda in advance of the November 30 meetings. The agenda you have provided to cover the Scoping Meetings under Section 3.1 only includes a presentation to mostly describe the Red Hill Storage Facility Tank Upgrade and Release Detection Systems and Tightness Testing Study.

Under Section 3.1 of the SOW "the purpose of the scoping meetings will be detail the contents of the Scope of Work for the TUA Report. During the meeting, criteria for decision making will be discussed and a decision will be made as to whether additional Scoping Meetings are needed."

As a result, the agenda should be modified to include these additional items:

1. Allotted time for the Parties and SMEs to provide introductions, expectations and goals for this Section.
2. We think it would be pertinent for Enterprise to spend some of their presentation time explaining what sources were consulted, the breadth of their search and details to confirm the uniqueness of the Red Hill facility, especially if SMEs are in attendance.

3. Allotted time for formulating the deliverable required under SOW Section 3.2 and other next steps.

The Enterprise Report demonstrates the efforts that Navy and DLA have undertaken to study issues surrounding tank upgrade alternatives. Nevertheless, Navy and DLA are required to submit deliverables under Sections 3.2 and 3.3 of the SOW to the Regulatory Agencies for approval.

Please let us know if you have any questions. We will be discussing the face to face meetings with Jimmy on Monday.

Looking forward to our meetings in a couple of weeks,

Omer Shalev

Environmental Engineer

Land Division (LND 4-2)

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne St.

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 972-3538

-----Original Message-----

From: Nakaoka, Perry M CIV NAVFAC PAC, CI [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2015 10:39 AM
To: Shalev, Omer <Shalev.Omer@epa.gov>; 'Perry, Thu' <Thu.Perry@doh.hawaii.gov>
Cc: Fujino, Stephen T CIV NAVFAC PAC <(b) (6)>; Shimabuku, Ross Y CIV NAVFAC PAC <(b) (6)>; Vogel, Burr M CDR NAVFAC HI, OP <(b) (6)>; Miyamoto, James A CIV NAVFAC HI, DOP <(b) (6)>
Subject: Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility AOC, SOW Section 3, Tank Upgrade Alternatives, 30 Nov - 4 Dec Scoping Meeting Agenda

Mr. Shalev and Ms. Perry,

Mr. James Miyamoto asked that I work with you in developing the agenda for the subject scoping

meeting.

Attached please find a draft agenda for your review and comment. The draft agenda is based on the SOW that EEI is currently under contract with the Navy/DLA.

Note that the specific date and time of all the meetings are being coordinated.

If you have any questions please contact me at your convenience.

Thank you

Perry Nakaoka, PE

NAVFAC Pacific

Mechanical Branch, CI43

258 Makalapa Drive #100

JBPHH, Hawaii 96860

O (b) (6)

mailto:(b) (6) <mailto:(b) (6)>